

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT SEATTLE

6 FRANK CORNELIUS, et al.,

7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, et
10 al.,

11 Defendant(s).

NO. C08-754MJP

ORDER ON MOTION TO REVISE
CASE SCHEDULE

12 The Court, having received and reviewed the Joint Motion to Amend the Case Scheduling
13 Order (Dkt. No. 60), makes the following findings:

- 14 1. The motion contains no specific (or insufficient) facts to establish good cause
15 for a continuance/revision and/or
16 2. The motion provides no suitable explanation as to why the existing case
schedule deadlines were not met.

17 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the request to revise the existing case schedule is
18 DENIED without prejudice to bring a renewed motion which explains what remains to be
19 accomplished and why the parties were unable to meet the deadlines previously set..

20 The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.

21 Dated: June 17, 2009

22 

23 Marsha J. Pechman
24 U.S. District Judge